



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,615	12/24/2003	Richard Morabito	LC 0143 PUS	1614
36014	7590	12/20/2007	EXAMINER	
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			ABRAHAM, TANIA	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3636		
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/20/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,615	MORABITO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tania Abraham	3636

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____.

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 17-20 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse during a telephone conversation with Thomas Donohue on March 7, 2006.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the lower console base protrusion, indicated by reference character 48 in the specification, is mistakenly identified in figure 3 by reference character 8. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are

not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiesler et al in view of Jakubiec et al and Fluharty et al. Tiesler et al discloses a center console for a front row of seats having a bin structure 14 – being a lower console member, a body ring 16 – being an upper console member, and a cover

20 – being a console lid. The lower console member 14 has mounted to its forward end, a flow-through assembly with an incorporated cupholder 50. The upper console member 16 includes outer walls 44 and inner walls 40 that form an engagement sleeve for lower console member walls 26, but excludes horizontal top and bottom walls, thereby providing a hole for direct access to the lower console member. The console lid rotates about the upper console with a hinge leaf 64 and includes a padded armrest. In its assembled configuration, the upper console member sits on the lower console member with its walls extending to engage those of the lower console member. The engagement of the upper console member walls to those of the lower console member forms a contiguous extended depth storage bin with substantially increased storage.

Tiesler et al discloses the body 12 which supports the console “is adapted to be mounted in a convenient place”, but only suggests the vehicle floor as a location (col. 1, lines 63-65). Tiesler et al also discloses the upper console 16 sits on the lower console when assembled, but does not show or suggest a pivotal connection between the two. Jakubiec et al teaches having a center console with attaching means 28 – being an element of the joining frame 26, that allow the console “to be mounted to the front seat inboard risers 56.” (Col. 2, lines 54-57) The joining frame 26 is broadly interpreted to encompass the “pan frame assembly” of claim 6. Jakubiec et al also teaches having an upper console member 16 rotating about a lower console member 12 with hinge pin 94 to allow the upper console member 16 to move between a horizontal closed position and a vertical open position (col. 3, lines 6-13). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the console of Tiesler et al with a

joining frame according to Jakubiec et al's teaching in order to provide attachment of the console to the inboard risers of a front seat; and to modify Tiesler et al's console with a pivotal connection according to Jakubiec et al's teaching in order to allow the upper member to move between closed and open positions.

Tiesler et al discloses the inner walls 40 of their upper console 16 contact the walls 26 of the lower console, but does not show any features for locking the walls together. Fluharty et al shows the walls of an upper console member 50 having retaining means detents 47, or locking tabs that engage the walls of a lower console member 24 to retain the upper member 50 in place. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Tiesler et al's console with retaining means according to Fluharty et al's teaching in order to retain the upper member in the lower member.

With respect to claims 8 and 9, it is well known in the art to include conventional features like trays and dividers to vehicle consoles to provide additional storage cavities for the user, as shown by Jakubiec et al (col. 1, lines 60-64) and references previously cited.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiesler et al and Jakubiec et al as applied to claims 1-11 above, and further in view of Klopp, III et al. Tiesler et al discloses everything previously described except for an air vent assembly attached to their console. Klopp, III et al (fig. 1-7) shows a vehicle console with a rear portion 150 mounted to the console having air conditioning vents under the pivoting means of an upper console member 108 for directing air to the rear of the

vehicle compartment (col. 4, lines 45-49). So, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tiesler et al's console with an air vent assembly as taught by Klopp, III et al. to provide air conditioning to the occupants in the back row of a vehicle.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed November 9, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's argument that Tiesler et al does not teach an upper and lower console base, and an extended depth storage bin as claimed, Examiner reasserts that, since the claims define the upper base as comprised of walls and an open top and bottom, the body ring 16 shown in the reference is an upper console base and the claim limitations are met by the structure disclosed in the Tiesler et al reference; moreover, the new recitation "substantially increased" is considered relative and not a definitive limitation that would add weight to the claim, in terms of patentability, for overcoming the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tania Abraham whose telephone number is 571-272-2635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on 571-272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tania Abraham
December 18, 2007



DAVID DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER